PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP 111

28

07-CV-2343-DMS-WMc

Nature of Action

1. Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 1 and therefore denies each and every allegation.

Parties

- 2. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 2 of the Complaint, except as follows: Defendant denies, on information and belief, the allegation that "Between 1994 and August 2007, Schmidt was employed as the head coach of San Diego State University's women's swimming and diving teams" as phrased. Defendant believes that at times plaintiff's correct employment status was slightly different, and that at times another person, a male, was employed with the title of coach of the women's diving team.
 - 3. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 3.
- 4. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 4 except as follows: Defendant alleges that although San Diego State University (hereinafter, "SDSU") is a campus of the California State University (hereinafter, sometimes "CSU") system, but it is not a proper party defendant, and is not a separate legal entity apart from the Board of Trustees of the California State University.
- 5. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 5 and on that ground deny each and every allegation therein.
- 6. Defendant lacks sufficient information to enable them to fully admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 6 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein at this time.

Venue

7. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 7.

Factual Allegations

- 8. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 8.
- 9. Defendant admits that between 1994 and 2007, plaintiff variously served in the capacities of swimming, diving and water polo coach at SDSU as an employee of CSU.

 Defendant currently lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 9 and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein at this time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Defendant admits that between 1994 and 2007, plaintiff variously served as a CSU 10. employee in the capacities of swimming, diving and water polo coach for SDSU. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 10.
 - Defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 11. 11.
- Defendant admits that SDSU operated a swimming pool for the first few years of 12. Schmidt's employment. Defendant further admits that the pool was not NCAA compliant for water polo so the water polo team trained and competed off campus. Other than as admitted herein, defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 12 and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein.
- Defendant admits that the Terry Pool was closed in 1996 for a brief period of time 13. to perform upgrades on the pool. Defendant currently lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 13, and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained 14. in paragraph 14 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant admits that the Board of Trustees did not construct a new campus pool 15. in or about 1997. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 15 and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein.
- Defendant admits that Associated Students publicized two student referendums to 16. fund the new swimming pool and that one failed in 1998. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 16 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein at this time.
- Defendant admits that the Terry Pool was demolished in or about the year 2000. 17. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 17 and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein.
- Defendant admits that the womens' swimming team trained off campus after the 18. Terry Pool closed in facilities such as the City Heights and Joan Kroc facilities. Defendant lacks 07-CV-2343-DMS-WMc

4

5

3

- 6 7
- 9

8

- 10 11
- 13

12

- 14 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 26

25

27 28 on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained 19.

in paragraph 19 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein.

sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 18 and

- Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained 20. in paragraph 20 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant admits that Rick Bay's contract was not renewed in or about 2001, that 21. Gene Bartow was hired as interim Athletic Director, and that Mike Bohn was hired as Athletic Director at SDSU in or about 2003. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 21 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant admits that Associated Students sponsored a referendum to raise student 22. fees to build a pool facility on campus, which passed in 2004. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 22 and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein.
- Defendant became aware at some point that plaintiff was ill, but lack sufficient 23. information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 23 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant admits that Jeff Schemmel was hired by CSU as Athletic Director for 24. SDSU in the summer of 2005. Defendant also admits that in or about the time he was hired or began work, Jeff Schemmel became aware that Schmidt had cancer. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 24 and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained 25. in paragraph 25 and each of its subparts and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant admits that Schmidt's contract for the 2006-2007 academic year expired 26. on May 31, 2007. Defendant also admits that Schmidt was notified that her contract would not be renewed on or about June 11, 2007. Defendant further admits that Jeff Schemmel gave Schmidt

an additional two month contract until July 31, 2007. Defendant lacks sufficient information to
admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 26 and on that basis deny each
and every remaining allegation therein.

- Defendant admits that a new campus pool opened on the campus of SDSU in March 2007. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 27 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant currently lacks sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 28 and therefore deny each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 29 and on that basis deny each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 30 except as follows: Defendant admits that SDSU hired a male to coach the women's swimming team in or about August 2007. Defendant further admits that the three coaches who currently coach the swim and diving team are
- Defendant admits that Plaintiff opted to take an early retirement from CSU and enjoy the benefits of that status. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 31 and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation therein.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 32 and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained 33. in paragraph 33 and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.

First Claim for Relief

(Against Board of Trustees and SDSU for

Sex Discrimination – Retaliation under Title IX)

In response to paragraph 34, which merely refers to paragraphs 1 through 33, 34. Defendant incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 33 herein by reference.

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

(To All Claims for Relief)

By reason of plaintiff's conduct, she is barred under the doctrine of unclean hands from all forms of relief sought in her complaint.

7

111 27

25

26

28

Plaintiff's state claims are barred by various immunities of the Government Code, including, but not limited to, Government Code sections 815, 815.2, 815.3, 818.2, 818.8, 820.2, 820.8 and/or 822.2.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Claims for Relief)

Plaintiff's claims are barred and plaintiff is barred from seeking any damages from the purported physical or emotional injuries allegedly suffered as a result of her employment and discharge in that the sole and exclusive remedy in this respect is governed by the California Workers' Compensation Act. Cal. Lab. Code §§3600 et. seq.

111 26

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

111 27

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Reserved)

This answering defendant presently has insufficient knowledge or insufficient information upon which to form a belief as to whether it may have additional, yet unasserted, affirmative defenses. This answering defendant therefore reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates it would be appropriate.

27

21

22

23

24

25

26

111

PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP